**Polymers for a Safe and Sustainable Future** 



28-31 May 2024 | Athens, Greece

# Life cycle assessment: Blends of Recycled PET and Bio-PET in the Circular Economy

Samara Costa, Graziella Saft, Renato Reis, Cátia Zoraida, Sofia Pinto, Magda Silva, Beatriz Sampaio

and Natália Ladeira.

PIEP – Center for Innovation in Polymer Engineering, University of Minho









Financiado pela

União Europeia

ext Generation EU







**PIEP** is a technological interface center that promotes the connection between academia, and industry, through the development of polymer-based systems and solutions with a multisectoral scope.

PIEP offers testing services, failure analysis, and develops new materials, products, and processing technologies, all with a focus on sustainable development principles.

























# **RECPET project** is part of the **SUSTAINABLE PLASTICS** initiative to promote a Sustainable Plastics sector in Portugal.

• Consortium:





• Main Project's Goal:

Valorization of recycled PET (rPET) and bio-PET for the development of nonwoven textiles for the automotive and medical industries.





in polymer engineering







Financia União E NextGene

## Topics to be covered

03

## **Project Background**

Plastic; Textile industry; Circular economy; rPET and Bio-PET. Go Inv Imi Int

02 Goal

01

04 Cor

## Life Cycle Assessment - LCA

- Goal and Scope definition;
- Inventory Analysis;
- Impact Assessment;
- Interpretation.

## Conclusion

# **Ol Background**

• Plastic:

#### Main features<sup>[1]</sup>:

- Versatility;
- Chemical and thermal stability;
- Durability;
- Transparency;
- Cost.

## **Environmental challenges**<sup>[2,3]</sup>:



**Fossil-fuel** dependency (non-renewable natural resource).



**Greenhouse gas (GHG)** emissions





Short lifetime and disposal of waste

<sup>[1]</sup> Chairat, S.; Gheewala, S. H. (2023). Life cycle assessment and circularity of polyethylene terephthalate bottles via closed and open loop recycling, Environmental Research, 236 (1), 116788. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2023.116788.

<sup>[2]</sup> Stubbe, B.; Van Vrekhem, S.; Huysman, S.; Tilkin, R.G.; De Schrijver, I.; Vanneste, M. (2024). White Paper on Textile Fibre Recycling Technologies. Sustainability, 16(2):618. https://doi.org/10.3390/su16020618 <sup>[3]</sup> Ali SS, Abdelkarim EA, Elsamahy T, Al-Tohamy R, Li F, Kornaros M, Zuorro A, Zhu D, Sun J. 2023. Bioplastic production in terms of life cycle assessment: A state-of-the-art review. Environ Sci Ecotechnol. 19(15):100254. https://doi: 10.1016/j.ese.2023.100254.









• Textile industry:

**Polyester**  $\implies$  Used in the production of fibers<sup>[4]</sup>.



<sup>[4]</sup> García-Velásquez, C.; van der Meer, Y. (2022). Can we improve the environmental benefits of biobased PET production through local biomass value chains? – A life cycle assessment perspective. Journal of Cleaner Production, 380(2): 135039, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2022.135039.

<sup>[5]</sup> Ivanović, T.; Hischier, R.; Som, C. (2021). Bio-Based Polyester Fiber Substitutes: From GWP to a More Comprehensive Environmental Analysis" Applied Sciences 11(7): 2993. https://doi.org/10.3390/app11072993 <sup>[6]</sup>Sun, G.; Cao, X.; Wang, Y.; Sun, X.; Chen, Q. (2024). Comparative life cycle assessment of two different waste materials for recycled fiber. Resources, Conservation and Recycling, 205: 107518. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2024.107518.







## WHAT CAN WE DO?







Financiado pela União Europeia NextGenerationEU



Circular economy

European Commission: regulations to reduce waste and promote circular economy approaches<sup>[7]</sup>.



**Green Deal**: stimulate the development of new markets for climate-neutral and circular products, especially in textiles and plastics industry.

#### Substitutes for traditional plastics: recycled PET and bio-based plastics <sup>[4]</sup>.

<sup>1/1</sup> García-Velásquez, C.; van der Meer, Y. (2022). Can we improve the environmental benefits of biobased PET production through local biomass value chains? – A life cvcle assessment perspective. Journal of Cleaner Production. 380(2): 135039, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2022.135039

<sup>[4]</sup> Ivanović, T.; Hischier, R.; Som, C. (2021). Bio-Based Polyester Fiber Substitutes: From GWP to a More Comprehensive Environmental Analysis" Applied Sciences 11(7): 2993. https://doi.org/10.3390/app11072993







**Recycled PET:** 

- Recycled PET (rPET): type of plastic that is made by recycling PET.



**Recycled polyester** fibers



rPET is produced by processing used PET products, such as plastic bottles, into new material, including fiber.

#### Bio-based PET:

- Bio-based are made totally or partially using renewable resources instead of fossil feedstock<sup>[3]</sup>.



Studies indicate that producing polyester fiber from rPET and bio-based sources can contribute to reducing environmental impacts [1,3,8]:

- Plastic waste;
- Energy use;
- Greenhouse gas emissions;
- Depletion of fossil resources.

<sup>[1]</sup> Chairat, S.; Gheewala, S. H. (2023). Life cycle assessment and circularity of polyethylene terephthalate bottles via closed and open loop recycling, Environmental Research, 236 (1), 116788. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2023.116788. <sup>[3]</sup> Ali SS, Abdelkarim EA, Elsamahy T, Al-Tohamy R, Li F, Kornaros M, Zuorro A, Zhu D, Sun J. 2023. Bioplastic production in terms of life cycle assessment: A state-of-the-art review. Environ Sci Ecotechnol. 19(15):100254. https://doi 10.1016/j.ese.2023.100254.

<sup>[8]</sup> Serrano-Aguirre, L. & Prieto, M.A. (2024) Can bioplastics always offer a truly sustainable alternative to fossil-based plastics? Microbial Biotechnology, 17, e14458. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1111/1751-7915.14458

Corn









# Compare the environmental performance of producing 1 kg of fiber with different materials.





#### Life Cycle Assessment (LCA): $\square$

The environmental impacts were obtained using the LCA methodology based on ISO 14040:44<sup>[9,10]</sup>.



Figure 2 - LCA methodology accordingly to ISO14040:44.





# Life Cycle Assessment (LCA):

## **GOAL**:

Compare the environmental performance of producing 1 kg of fiber:

- **Baseline**: virgin PET; **(i)**
- (ii) Innovation: project formulation using recycled PET, bio-PET and additives.

#### **SCOPE:**

Declared Unit: Production of 1 kg of fiber. System limits: Cradle-to-gate



#### Life Cycle Assessment (LCA): $\square \prec$

#### - Inventory:











- Limitations and Considerations:



- The formulation was developed on a laboratory scale.
- The construction of infrastructure and equipment, as well as the end-of-life considerations, were excluded from the analysis.









**Impact Assessment** 

SímaPro Version 9.4.0.1





## Impact method: EPD (2018) Environmental Product Declaration









#### **Impact Assessment**

The environmental **impact categories** analyzed were:





#### **Interpretation:** Global Warming (GWP100a)



Figure 4 – Global warming results for the options analyzed.



Global Warming is the most analyzed

The innovation reduced kg CO<sub>2 eq</sub> emissions by 23.85% when compared to the baseline.

The innovation represents less use of fossil resources as expected according to the







#### **Interpretation**:

#### The **baseline** scenario results were **worse** in almost all categories:



Recycled PET and Bio-PET: in addition to reducing dependence on fossil fuels, it avoids the extraction of virgin material and less consumption of energy and other resources.

Figure 5 – Environmental impacts for the options analyzed.







## Life Cycle Assessment (LCA):

#### **Interpretation**:



Figure 5 – Environmental impacts for the options analyzed.

[3] Ali SS, Abdelkarim EA, Elsamahy T, Al-Tohamy R, Li F, Kornaros M, Zuorro A, Zhu D, Sun J. 2023. Bioplastic production in terms of life cycle assessment: A state-of-the-art review. Environ Sci Ecotechnol. 19(15):100254. https://doi: 10.1016/j.ese.2023.100254.

<sup>[11]</sup> Chen, L; Pelton, R. E.O.; Smith, T. M. 2016. Comparative life cycle assessment of fossil and bio-based polyethylene terephthalate (PET) bottles, Journal of Cleaner Production, 137, 667-676. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.07.094.

The innovation had the highest environmental impact contribution in the eutrophication category.

Comparative LCA studies identified this same behavior on bio-based fibers productions<sup>[3,11]</sup>.

#### Use of **fertilizer** in the agriculture phase to produce bio-based materials.





# 04 Conclusion



This study presented initial considerations on the environmental performance of fibers produced from virgin PET (baseline) and a formulation (innovation) produced on a laboratory scale with recycled PET, bio-PET and additives.



According to preliminary results, the innovation showed better environmental performance compared to the baseline.



The project intends to carry out tests on an industrial scale.





REPÚBLICA PORTUGUESA Financiado pela União Europeia NextGenerationEU

## Thank you for your attention!

#### Samara Costa

**R&D** Technician Técnica Principal E-mail: samara.costa@piep.pt



(351) 253 510 050

 $\square$ 

geral@piep.pt



www.piep.pt



 $\bigcirc$ 

PIEP - Pólo de Inovação em Engenharia de Polímeros, Universidade do Minho, Campus de Azurém, Edifício 15 4800-058 Guimarães, Portugal













#### 0 🔰 in



REPÚBLICA PORTUGUESA



Financiado pela União Europeia MextGenerationEU